

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/061,363	BELENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher J. Brown	2134	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher J. Brown.

(3) _____

(2) Carol Druzbeck.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/7/07.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sims III US 6,550,011.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


KAMBIZ ZAND
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Applicant discussed the independent claims. Examiner agreed that Sims III likely did not teach the Master Key as stated in the independent claims but that the master key was not defined in the specification. Examiner suggested that the applicant include subject matter from the specification indicating the Master key is encrypted with the current public key to further define claims 1, 5, and 11. Applicant and Examiner discussed placing the "further delivers a key renewing certificate" found in step (e) into step (b). Examiner agreed to send a Non-final action after RCE.